**Research Areas:**

- Probably occurred, though never documented.
- Use of project scheduling tools was not required.
- No expectation?

**Opinion**

- 42.85
- 14.28
- 28.57

**Percentage**

- 9.52
- 85.71

**Brian**

- Half did this
- No one did this

**Percentage**

- 57.14

**Otto & Wood**

- Almost no one did this
- This should be instinctive in RIT engineering students.

**Overall Trends**

- No one did this
- Half did this

**Tech Questions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Questions</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What can the design mean?</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What characteristics or properties should the design be?</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What aspects of the design can and should be quantified?</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preliminary Technical Questions**

- What is the problem really about?
- What aspects of the design are really open for creativity?
- What should the design encompass?
- What should the design not have?
- What can be quantified now?
- Discussion of scope

**Score:**

- Realistic and Clearly Defined Deliverables
- In Depth Initial Scheduling
- Supplement Product Tasks with Team Tasks
- Estimate Project Resources and Time
- Assign Tasks to a Timeline

**Planning Process**

![Planning Process Graph](image_url)