


**Final MSD II Project Review
February 20, 2013**

1. **Specifications Review Document:** Marked specifications with colors to represent specifications that were met (green) or unmet (red).



Final MSD II Project Review
February 20, 2013

2. Plan vs. Actual: The project plan used for MSD II was a continuation of the MSD I. The plan was followed less closely due to the little amount of items, and the few the items took longer time.
 - a. Going into MSD II, the team needed to make some critical design decisions and was able to do so on time.
 - b. All items on the project plan have been completed; however, some items were slow to get completed. Fabrication and transportation of the cots was completed in the last 4 weeks of the quarter.

3. Rubric Review: After our initial rubric review from our guide, it was apparent that there were several missing elements.
 - a. The **Test Plans** document was updated with repetition and condition information, as well as the results from the testing.
 - b. Performance was measured in the **Specifications Review Documentation**. A **Design Changes** document was created to review the changes and the review from St. Joe's. Review from testing on the MSD floor is included in the **Test Plans** document.
 - c. The **Assembly Document** created includes the fabrication, assembly, cleaning and repair of all of the cots.
 - d. **Strong and Weak Points** document covers a retrospective of the project, the approaches taken, future improvements and the lessons learned. Team dynamic were also discussed here.
 - e. Values tested against plan: The team is unsure what this means.

4. Lessons Learned: This is included in the **Strong and Weak Points** document.